

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 231 739

SO 014 979

AUTHOR Diem, Richard A.
TITLE Preparation for the Technological Classroom: Can We Fulfill the Need?
PUB DATE Jun 83
NOTE 10p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Social Science Education Consortium (Athens, GA, June 8-11, 1983).
PUB TYPE Guides - Non-Classroom Use (055) -- Speeches/Conference Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Computer Assisted Instruction; *Computer Literacy; Computer Science Education; *Educational Needs; *Educational Policy; Elementary Secondary Education; *Inservice Teacher Education; Technological Advancement; *Technological Literacy
IDENTIFIERS *Computer Uses in Education

ABSTRACT

In order to apply technological advancements to education, educators must devise on-going training programs for teachers and administrators and create a national policy that gives direction and sets priorities for the use of computer technology in the schools. In-service training for teachers should be based on four premises. First, each part of the curriculum should be used to emphasize particular skills. For example, social studies could instruct in the history of technology and the value and ethical implications of the information revolution, and language arts could include word processing and programming syntax. Second, training emphasis must be on understanding curriculum needs, population expectations, and intended learning outcomes rather than on programming skills. Third, teachers need to be actively involved in the selection of hardware and software components for the classroom. Fourth, content application must be infused within instructional objectives for particular disciplines. Also, in the consideration of long-term educational policy on the effective use of computer technology in the schools, educators must consider finances, community support, and the ethics and values related to the transfer and handling of massive amounts of information. (KC)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

ED231739

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

☒ This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it.

☐ Minor changes have been made to improve
reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-
ment do not necessarily represent official NIE
position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Richard A.
Diam

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Preparation for the Technological Classroom:
Can We Fulfill the Need?

Richard A. Diam
Associate Professor
The University of Texas at San Antonio

A Paper for the Annual Meeting
of the
Social Studies Educational Consortium

Athens, Georgia
June 8-11, 1983

504 014 979

In the late 1970's, numerous predictions were made that the micro-computer and other forms of mini-technology would play a major role in education. In the years since these predictions most have already come true. Whether used as a tutor, for word processing, to simulate decision-making experiences, or to provide drill and practice, the "new technology" and especially the micro-computer has been looked at by a disparate number of publics as one way to improve instruction in an educational system seemingly in decline. In 1982, 300,000 micro-computer units were sold to elementary and secondary schools in the United States (Office of Technological Assessment, 1982); evidence enough that school decision-makers believe in the promises and premises that technology holds for schools. Teacher educators cannot ignore the fact that the public and private lives of people have accepted these machines. Computer literacy for educators has now become a necessity rather than a luxury for all segments of teacher education programs if schools are to stay abreast of the on-going technological revolution.

However, the best data we have on computer literacy (Stevens, 1979; National Assessment of Educational Programs, 1980; Baker, 1982) suggest that few students in colleges or in senior or junior high schools have opportunities for computer experience; few have algorithmic problem-solving skills; and many lack an awareness of the role and value of computers (Anderson, 1982).

These assessments of the state of national computer and technological literacy should give us cause for concern. To function effectively in the twenty-first century classroom and in a world dominated by technological innovations, our nation's youth needs to know how to use and deal with technology.

The headlong rush to embrace the "new micro-technology" has left in its wake a multitude of questions educators must cope with if the develop-

ment of these learning tools and the literacy necessary to use them have any lasting impact on students. Not the least important of these involve the notion of how can, and will, the average classroom teacher be trained to master these aides and implement them within the curricular structure of the public school.

Inquiry into the nature and scope of this type of technological training can be subdivided into a two-step process. Step one deals with relative short term queries and centers on the training and technological educational needs of currently employed teachers. Step two revolves around the long term educational policy implications that the effective use of technology in school settings implies.

STEP ONE

Most teachers in today's public schools have not had any training or background in computer or technological literacy, usage, or adaptation. Although circumstances are changing as Colleges of Education and State Certification requirements are revised to include at least a cursory discussion of these topics in pre-service education program, most currently employed K-12 educators have not had even a semi-introduction to current technological/educational innovations. Until an entire college aged generation completes pre-service teacher education programs that include computer literacy and application coursework as part of training requirements (in about five to seven years), on-going developmental in-service activities must begin to help those teachers already in classrooms use this technology in a rational, effective manner.

The type of training that these teachers need is premised on four main ideas:

1. Technological literacy is non-course specific

2. Training emphasis must be on curriculum development rather than on programming development
3. Criteria for evaluating software and hardware, by necessity, has to be on-going as the state-of-the-art changes
4. Content application, by grade and subject level, must be infused or imbedded within instructional objectives for particular disciplines

1. Technological literacy is non-course specific

No one single curricular area can take the responsibility for technological literacy if it is to be accepted and developed as part of the entire educational process. Each part of the curriculum should be used to emphasize particular skills. For example, the social studies should be responsible for instruction in the history of technology, value and ethical implications of the information revolution and problems related to privacy infringements through information easesdropping. Language Arts might include segments on the use of word processing and programming syntax. Career and vocational education, including preparation for changing job focuses as technology changes, would be infused throughout the curriculum. By using all parts of the curriculum to develop technological literacy, technological applications in these areas would be more concrete and easier to illustrate. Students, as well as teachers, would also understand and appreciate how technology affects various segments of their lives.

2. Training emphasis must be on curriculum development rather than on programming development

Not every teacher, or even every other teacher, needs to become a computer programmer. Many in-service and graduate training programs

currently emphasize programming skills while neglecting curriculum development skills. To effectively use computer technology, teachers must learn not only how to technically use and program machines but where to use them. This involves understanding curriculum needs, population expectations, and intended learning outcomes. In essence, the teachers must learn how to use the computer as an effective learning tool and not as an end to itself. As the curriculum evolves, or changes, the use of technology must also evolve and change. Training in this manner must become developmental in nature and stay attuned to societal and cultural changes.

3. Criteria for evaluating software and hardware, by necessity, has to be on-going as the state-of-the-art changes

Teachers need to be actively involved in the selection of software and hardware components for the technology they will be using in their classrooms. The selection process is a five-fold activity, identifying:

- a. The program users. Is the software or hardware for individual, large group or small group instruction? What grades and cognitive ability levels are to be served?;
- b. Program usage. Will the software and hardware be used for drill and practice, tutorial, problem-solving activities, informational activities, recreational activities; simulation exercises, or a combination of any of the preceding?;
- c. Hardware requirements. What operating system, language, random access memory, input mode and output modes are required to run the various software programs?;
- d. The quality of software. Are the software program purposes defined and relevant to learner needs? Is the level of difficulty appropriate? Is the program software content accurate and logically organized? Does the content support the overall school instructional program scope and sequence? Is there documentation on program

use?; e. Costs. How much does the hardware and software cost? Is it necessary to the school district's overall technological learning programs? What are the maintenance or upkeep costs for the software and equipment?

4. Content application, by grade and subject level, must be infused or imbedded within instructional objectives for particular disciplines

Each academic department must become responsible for teaching those technological skills and objectives relevant to that area. By doing this, students and teachers will develop an appreciation of how technology affects all aspects of the curriculum and that these skills need not be relegated to one or two academic disciplines.

This will require training in curriculum and content development.

STEP TWO

There is a feeling among many that an essential outcome of contemporary education should include development of skills that will enable students to understand the nature of the new technology and the roles that this technology will play in our society. The technological training and implementation patterns that emerge over the next five years will set a pattern, either good or bad, that the next generation of school aged youngsters follow.

The paths that are open vary and can be influenced by factors such as world and national economic conditions, political philosophies, and cultural acceptance of technology in the schools and in the workplace. With the decline of Federal assistance and the drying up of State government revenues, the onus of financing technological innovation will probably fall on local schools. What will happen to students in those districts that cannot afford to purchase equipment? Instead of narrowing the gap between the haves and the have nots, technology may exacerbate the problem of school equity. As

robots take their place in factories and on assembly lines, will displaced workers be willing to support the same kind of technology that took away their jobs in the public schools. Finally, as computer and technologically related white collar crimes begin to increase, how will society punish the transgressors. Should they be treated any differently than "hardcore criminals?" How can we impress upon students the ethics and values related to the transfer and handling of massive amounts of information, some of which may be highly personal?

Clearly, educators need to be at the forefront in the development of a national policy related to the implementation and training involved in the uses of technology in our schools. Currently, there is no such definitive policy. Instead we have sets of statements from the U.S. Office of Education and various State Departments of Education that provide various directions. While State priorities will, by necessity vary, there still needs to be some type of broad umbrella philosophy about educational technology that includes statements on the usage and type of training that all segments of the educational community can live with. Until this happens, all of the prescriptions for training teachers mentioned in Step One in this paper will be for naught as they will be like oars on a rudderless ship.

This statement need not be all encompassing and cover every grade level and every segment of the curriculum. It should, however, give direction and set priorities. Emphasis on proper use and abuse of information generating systems needs to be covered. Educators, public officials and parents need to be part of the group that drafts such a statement so that various populations can discuss their needs and fears the proliferation of technology brings.

In the past few years high tech has become high style. Computers have adorned the covers of major news magazines. Newspapers devote segments of business sections to technology. Parts of amusement parks, such as Epcot

Center in Disney World and Sesame Street Place, are devoted to interactive computer usage. Politicians have added slogans bemoaning "the growing computer literacy gap in our schools" to their repertoire. Some forty States require or are considering requirements in computer literacy for high school graduation. Several colleges and universities now include the cost of a micro-computer as part of their book and school supply fees. Despite the gloss and publicity, the reality is that teachers and schools still have not fully utilized the fall out of this revolution. Until adequate on-going training and a consistent national policy in this area emerges this may not occur for ten or fifteen years; with another generation's technological potential lost because of neglect.

NOTES

Anderson, R. E. (1982). "National Computer Literacy, 1980" in Computer Literacy edited by R. J. Seidel, R. E. Anderson, and B. Hunter, Academic Press, New York.

Baker, J. (1982). Microcomputers in the Classroom, Phi Delta Kappa Foundation, Bloomington, Indiana.

Congress of the United States, Office of Technological Assessment (1982). Information Technology and Its Impact on American Education, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.

National Assessment of Educational Progress (1980). Procedural Handbook. Education Commission of the States, Denver, Colorado.

Stevens, D. J. (1980). "How Educators Perceive Computers in the Classroom", AGDS Journal, Spring, 13, 3, 221-232.